

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,606 04/26/2001		Bradley A. Bloechel	10559-400001 /P10337 7665		
20985	7590 01/16/2003				
FISH & RIC	HARDSON, PC	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500	LA VILLAGE DRIVE	, ·	NGUYEN, JIMMY		
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
		DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* *		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	- W		
		09/843,606		BLOECHEL, BRADLEY A.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
•		Jimmy Nguye		2829			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 October 2002</u> .							
1) 🖾	·	is action is nor	n-final				
2a) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for	formal matters, p	rosecution as to th	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 - 27 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 -15</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 6, 16 -18</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>19 -27</u> is/are objected to.						
)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosenstein et al (US 4922184).

As to claims 1, 16, Rosenstein et al disclose (fig 1) a method and the system Generating event signals (30);

Storing the event signals (30) in a holding circuit (18, a vibrational fixture performs as a holding circuit, claim 17);

Producing response signals (24) in a dut (16) in response to the event signals (30); and

A measuring device (38) for evaluating the dut (16) based on the response signals (24) from the dut (16) and stored event signals (30) from the holding circuit (18)

Art Unit: 2829

As to claims 2, 17, Rosenstein et al disclose

Generating trigger signals (30); and

Synchronizing each trigger signal with an event signal (30) such that the trigger signal occurs between a rising edge of the event signal and a falling edge of the event signal

As to claims 3, 4, 18, Rosenstein et al disclose (fig 1) continuing to store an intitial state of each event signal (30) in the holding circuit (18) after transition of the event signal to a subsequent state (startup testing) and applying a reset signal to the holding circuit (throughout the continuity test BD 26, 18)

As to claims 5, 6, Rosenstein et al disclose the event signal (30) is able to generate plural programs (as seen in the figure)

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7 – 15 are allowed.

The prior art of record fails to disclose

A first input port for receiving a trigger signal coupled to the input of the driving circuit

Art Unit: 2829

A second input port for receiving an event signal coupled to the first end of the first conductor;

An output port for outputting a hold signal coupled to the second end of the first conductor; and

A second conductor having an impedance higher than an impedance of the first conductor, and coupled between the output of the driving circuit and a connection point on the first conductor.

4. Claims 19 –27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose

A first input port for receiving a trigger signal coupled to the input of the driving circuit

A second input port for receiving an event signal coupled to the first end of the first conductor;

An output port for outputting a hold signal coupled to the second end of the first conductor; and

A second conductor having an impedance higher than an impedance of the first conductor, and coupled between the output of the driving circuit and a connection point on the first conductor.

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (703) 306-5858. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN. Jan 10, 2003

KAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800